

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/780,863 02/09/2001		John William Shultz	PRO-105.0 CON I 9307 6868/8157	
24628	7590 03/11/2003			
WELSH & K	ATZ, LTD	EXAMINER		
120 S RIVERS	SIDE PLAZA		EAAMI	NEK
22ND FLOOR		CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K		
CHICAGO, IL	. 60606			
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/780,863

Shultz

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634

		munication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
	•		T TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MO	NTH(S) FROM		
- Extensions of time mailing date of this	may be available under the provisior communication.	ns of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event, however, may a reply be timel	y filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
 If the period for rep If NO period for rep Failure to reply with Any reply received 	ly specified above is less than thirty ly is specified above, the maximum in the set or extended period for rep	statutory period will apply ply will, by statute, cause as after the mailing date o	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the the application to become ABANDONED (3 f this communication, even if timely filed, n	mailing date of this communication.		
Status						
1) X Respons	sive to communication(s)	filed on Dec 24,	2002			
2a) This act	ion is FINAL.	2b) 💢 This ad	ction is non-final.			
3) ☐ Since th closed in	is application is in condit accordance with the pr	tion for allowance actice under <i>Ex p</i>	except for formal matters, practice of the except for formal matters, practice of the except for formal matters, presented as a second control of the except for formal matters, presented as a second control of the except for formal matters, presented as a second control of the except for formal matters, presented as a second control of the except for formal matters, presented as a second control of the except for formal matters, presented as a second control of the except for formal matters, presented as a second control of the except for formal matters, presented as a second control of the except for formal matters, presented as a second control of the except for formal matters, presented as a second control of the except for formal matters and the except for formal matters are second control of the except for formal matters and the except for formal matters are second control of the except for formal matters and the except for formal matters are second control of the except for formal matters are second control of the except for formal matter and the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter and the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except for formal matter as a second control of the except f	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Cl	aims					
4) X Claim(s)	4) X Claim(s) <u>43-56</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the	above, claim(s)		į	s/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢 Claim(s)	54			is/are allowed.		
6) X Claim(s)	43, 44, 46, 48, 49, 53,	and 56		is/are rejected.		
7) X Claim(s)	45, 47, 50-52, and 55			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌 Claims _		*	are subject to res	striction and/or election requirement.		
Application Pape	rs					
9) The spec	cification is objected to b	y the Examiner.				
10) The draw	ving(s) filed on	is/ar	e a) 🗆 accepted or b) 🗆 obje	ected to by the Examiner.		
Applicar	nt may not request that an	y objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
				red b) \square disapproved by the Examiner		
If approv	red, corrected drawings ar	re required in reply	to this Office action.			
	or declaration is objected		niner.			
	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
			priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ Some* c)☐ None		•			
	tified copies of the priori					
			ve been received in Application			
	application from the	International Bure	locuments have been received eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ne certified copies not receive			
F			priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1			
			al application has been receive			
			priority under 35 U.S.C. §§			
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of Referen			4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pa	aper No(s).		
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		5) Notice of Informal Patent Applicat	tion (PTO-152)		
3) X Information Disck	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)	6) X Other: Detailed Action	·		

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Species other than SEQ ID Nos: 82 and 86 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper submitted on December 24, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 56 recites the limitation "said purified and isolated nucleoside diphosphate kinase" in lines 2-3 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

5. Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Han et al. (U.S. Patent 5,763,181) (June 9, 1998).

Han et al teaches a composition for determining the presence or absence of a plurality of predetermined nucleic acid target sequences in a nucleic acid sample (Abstract and Column 6, line 64 to Column 7, line 6) comprising an aqueous solution that contains:

- (A) a purified and isolated enzyme whose activity is to release one or more nucleotides from the 3' terminus of a hybridized nucleic acid probe (Column 8, lines 30-35, and Example 2, Column 16, line 25 to Column 18, line 50); and
- (B) a plurality of nucleic acid probes, each of the probes being complementary to a predetermined nucleic acid target sequences (Column 8, lines 30-35, and Example 2, Column 16, line 25 to Column 18, line 50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CAR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 43, 44, 46, 48, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Han et al. (U.S. Patent 5,763,181) (June 9, 1998) in view of Stratagene Catalog (1988) (Page 39, Column 1).

Han et al. teaches a composition for determining the presence or absence of a predetermined exogenous nucleic acid target sequences in a nucleic acid sample (Abstract and Column 6, line 64 to Column 7, line 6) comprising:

(A) a purified and isolated enzyme whose activity is to release one or more nucleotides from the 3' terminus of a hybridized nucleic acid probe (Column 8, lines 30-35, and Example 2, Column 16, line 25 to Column 18, line 50); and

(B) a plurality of nucleic acid probes, each of the probes being complementary to a predetermined nucleic acid target sequences (Column 8, lines 30-35, and Example 2, Column 16, line 25 to Column 18, line 50).

Han et al. teaches a species-specific probe associated with a pathogen (Column 8, lines 47-65).

Han et al. teaches the nucleic acid probes comprising a fluorescent label (Examples 1-2, Column 17, lines 8-44, and Figure 11A).

Han et al. teaches the nucleic acid probes comprising a non-natural nucleotide analog (Example 1).

Han et al. do not teach the motivation to combine all the reagents in the form of a kit. Stratagene catalog teaches a motivation to combine reagents into kit format (page 39).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine in a suitable container, (A) a purified and isolated enzyme whose activity is to release one or more nucleotides from the 3' terminus of a hybridized nucleic acid probe and

(B) a plurality of nucleic acid probes, each of the probes being complementary to a predetermined nucleic acid target sequences of Han et al. into a kit format as discussed by Stratagene catalog since the Stratagene catalog teaches a motivation for combining reagents of use in an assay into a kit, "Each kit provides two services: 1) a variety of different reagents have been assembled and pre-mixed specifically for a defined set of experiments. Thus one need not

Art Unit: 1634

purchase gram quantities of 10 different reagents, each of which is needed in only microgram amounts, when beginning a series of experiments. When one considers all of the unused chemicals that typically accumulate in weighing rooms, desiccators, and freezers, one quickly realizes that it is actually far more expensive for a small number of users to prepare most buffer solutions from the basic reagents. Stratagene provides only the quantities you will actually need, premixed and tested. In actuality, the kit format saves money and resources for everyone by dramatically reducing waste. 2) The other service provided in a kit is quality control (page 39, column 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 45, 47, 50-52, and 55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 54 is allowed.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703)605-1237.

Arun Chakrabarti,

Patent Examiner,

February 14, 2003

ARUNK. CHAKRABARTI
PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 45 and 47 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 7 and 34 distinct nucleic acid sequences respectively. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for each of the claims 45 and 47, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Shannon Nebolsky on July 23, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Art Unit: 1634

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission via the P.T.O. Fax Center located In Crystal Mall 1. The CM1 Fax Center numbers for Technology Center 1600 are either (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242. Please note that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published In the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Arun Chakrabarti

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1634,

July 25, 2002